(d) The question is not clear.

(e) Yes.

(f) It has been decided that if extensions are possible without adversely affecting the vested rights of the existing ayacut, it will be done year after year.

Opening of canal communication to Kunnamkulam.

312. Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to mention:—

(a) what action has been taken by the Government since June 1931 for opening canal communication to Kunnamkulam;

(b) whether any representation was received by the Government on the subject after the aforementioned date;

(c) whether the Government has been able to find out any device to prevent the danger of introducing brackish water to the detriment to paddy lands.

(d) whether the Engineers in our State have been able to recommend any suitable device for the purpose;

(e) whether the Government have consulted any Engineer outside the State to suggest a suitable device; and if not

(f) what is the reason for not doing so?

Mr. M. D. Narayana Ayyar.—(a) The Member is referred to the answer in question No. 108 in the last meeting of the Council.

(b) Yes.

(c) and (d) The Chief Engineer has suggested certain devices.

(e) No.

(f) There was no necessity for so doing.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly